

THE HONORABLE JOHN C. COUGHENOUR

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

JARROD L. FELTON-GROOMS,

Defendant.

CASE NO. CR22-0138-JCC

ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on Defendant's unopposed motion to continue trial and extend the pretrial motions deadline. (Dkt. No. 20.)

Defendant contends that based on the nature of the case, the complexity of potential pretrial motions, the severity of the charges, and the scope of discovery, additional time is necessary to conduct investigation and research motions and prepare for trial. (*Id.* at 2.)

Accordingly, the Court FINDS:

1. Taking into account the exercise of due diligence, a failure to grant a continuance would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, as set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv);
2. A failure to grant a continuance would likely result in a miscarriage of justice, as set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i);
3. The additional time requested is a reasonable period of delay; and

